

Two-way communication board red flag audit

Note on use: Answer the questions below to assess your institution's two-way communication board efforts. Multiple "no" responses suggest your institution should consider revising its two-way communication board format and/or content.

<i>Does your institution:</i>	Yes	No
1. Ensure staff can easily access the board in patient rooms without disturbing patients?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Provide an ample number of whiteboard pens near the board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Include between six and ten elements on the whiteboard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Ensure a two-way exchange of information (e.g., fields for caregiver-to-patient communication and fields for patient-to-caregiver communication)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Enable units with unique patient populations, (such as mother-baby or ED), to customize the whiteboards to best meet the needs of patients and staff in these care areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Have a sound process in place for soliciting and integrating staff input into whiteboard design?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Have a sound process in place for soliciting and integrating former or current patient input into whiteboard design?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Enforce a consistent auditing strategy to ensure whiteboard compliance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>